



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,460	05/08/2001	Dagobert Michel De Leeuw	PHN 17 732	4381

7590 08/27/2002
U S Philips Corporation
580 White Plains Road
Tarrytown, NY 10591

EXAMINER

ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1773

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/831,460

Applicant(s)

DE LEEUW ET AL.

Examiner

Ramsey Zacharia

Art Unit

1773

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 7, and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities. In claim 1, the term "alkylenegroup" appears to be a typographical error that should read --alkylene group--. In claim 7, the term "fieldeffect" appears to be a typographical error that should read --field effect--. In claim 11, the term "mea" should be written in all capital letters, MEA, to clearly indicate that it is the abbreviation for monoethanolamine. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. Claims 3 and 4 are rendered indefinite because they contain reference numerals (341, 351) that are not depicted in any of the Figures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 1773

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holdcroft et al. (U.S. Patent 5,561,030) in view of Jonas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,766,515).

Holdcroft et al. is directed to electrically conductive polymer patterns and processes for their formation (column 1, lines 10-16). Such electrically conductive polymer patterns have traditionally been used as electrodes (column 2, lines 47-54). The process comprises depositing a film of a π -conjugated polymer, irradiating the film in a pattern, removing the non-irradiated portions, and then oxidizing the film (column 3, lines 51-60). The π -conjugated polymer may be a 3,4-substituted polythiophene, such as a 3,4-alkoxythiophene (column 4, lines 47-59). Dissolved oxygen is involved in initiating the photoreaction, i.e. the dissolved oxygen reads on a photochemical (column 10, lines 44-50). In the embodiment of Example 5, the process is used to form a pattern comprising neighboring tracks that are 2 μm apart (column 12, lines 15-32). Holdcroft et al. apply the polymer film by casting a solution of the polymer in an organic solvent onto the substrate (column 11, lines 8-13).

Holdcroft et al. do not teach that the electrically conductive polymer is a polyacid salt of poly-3,4-alkoxythiophene.

Jonas et al. teach a conductive material comprising a 3,4-dioxyalkylene substituted polythiophene wherein the alkylene group may be a C_{1-4} alkyl group, which includes methylene, ethylene, and propylene, and an organic compound comprising polyhydroxyl, dihydroxy, carbonyl, lactam, and/or amide groups (column 1, lines 25-48). The conductive material is used in areas requiring good electrical conductivity, such as in forming electrodes (column 3, lines 5-

Art Unit: 1773

15). The conductive material is used in the cationic form so that it may be applied from an aqueous solution (column 2, lines 12-33). A polyacid, such as polystyrene sulfonic acid, may be used as the anion (claim 3).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the anion of a 3,4-dioxyalkylene substituted polythiophene and organic compound as taught by Jonas et al. as the π -conjugated polymer of Holdcroft et al. so that the polymer will be soluble in water as opposed to organic solvents, thus leading to reduce costs associated with environmental regulations regarding the use of organic solvents.

Regarding claim 2, the limitations of this claim are taken to be met at least because it is directed to an optionally present material.

Therefore, the inventions of claims 1-3 and 9-11 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made.

6. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bortscheller et al. (U.S. Patent 4,597,001) in view of Jonas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,766,515).

Bortscheller et al. teach a field effect transistor comprising a gate electrode, a source electrode, and a drain electrode (column 1, lines 45-67). The source and drain electrodes are formed on an amorphous silicon substrate, i.e. an electrically insulating substrate, and the gate electrode is separated from the source and drain electrodes by an insulating layer (Figure 1 and column 2, lines 30-49). In one embodiment, the source and drain electrodes are fork shaped and interdigitated (Figure 4).

Art Unit: 1773

Bortscheller et al. do not teach that the electrodes comprise a polyacid salt of poly-3,4-alkoxythiophene. However, Bortscheller et al. do teach that the gate, source, and drain electrodes may comprise any suitable conductive material (column 2, lines 30-49).

Jonas et al. teach a conductive material comprising a 3,4-dioxyalkylene substituted polythiophene wherein the alkylene group may be a C₁₋₄ alkyl group, which includes methylene, ethylene, and propylene, and an organic compound comprising polyhydroxyl, dihydroxy, carbonyl, lactam, and/or amide groups (column 1, lines 25-48). The conductive material is used in areas requiring good electrical conductivity, such as in forming electrodes (column 3, lines 5-15). The conductive material is used in the cationic form so that it may be applied from an aqueous solution (column 2, lines 12-33). A polyacid, such as polystyrene sulfonic acid, may be used as the anion (claim 3).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the 3,4-alkoxythiophene polymer system of Jonas et al. as the conductive material for the electrodes because they can be applied by a simple process and have still have good conductivity (column 1, lines 21-23).

Regarding claim 2, the limitations of this claim are taken to be met at least because it is directed to an optionally present material.

Therefore, the inventions of claims 1, 2, and 4-7 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made.

7. Claims 1, 2, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsumura et al. (U.S. Patent 5,500,537) in view of Jonas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,766,515).

Art Unit: 1773

Tsumura et al. teach a field effect transistor comprising a substrate (1), a gate electrode (2), an insulating film (3), source (4) and drain electrodes (5), and an organic film (6) (Figure 1 and column 3, lines 4-14). The substrate may be an organic polymer (column 3, lines 15-21). The gate, source, and drain electrodes may be electrically conductive polymers (column 3, lines 22-33). The insulating film may be an organic polymer (column 3, lines 51-61). The organic film is a conductive polymer (column 4, lines 3-22).

Tsumura et al. do not teach that the electrodes comprise a polyacid salt of poly-3,4-alkoxythiophene. However, Tsumura et al. do explicitly teach that the electrodes may comprise electrically conductive polymers.

Jonas et al. teach a conductive material comprising a 3,4-dioxyalkylene substituted polythiophene wherein the alkylene group may be a C_{1-4} alkyl group, which includes methylene, ethylene, and propylene, and an organic compound comprising polyhydroxyl, dihydroxy, carbonyl, lactam, and/or amide groups (column 1, lines 25-48). The conductive material is used in areas requiring good electrical conductivity, such as in forming electrodes (column 3, lines 5-15). The conductive material is used in the cationic form so that it may be applied from an aqueous solution (column 2, lines 12-33). A polyacid, such as polystyrene sulfonic acid, may be used as the anion (claim 3).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the 3,4-alkoxythiophene polymer system of Jonas et al. as the conductive material for the electrodes because they can be applied by a simple process and have still have good conductivity (column 1, lines 21-23).

Regarding claim 2, the limitations of this claim are taken to be met at least because it is directed to an optionally present material.

Art Unit: 1773

Therefore, the inventions of claims 1, 2, and 5-8 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (703) 305-0503.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for non after-final correspondences and (703) 872-9311 for after-final correspondences.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.



Ramsey Zacharia

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

8/22/02